IN THE MATTER OF

BEFORE THE STATE

ANDRE L. GIBSON, RMP

BOARD OF

Respondent

MASSAGE THERAPY EXAMINERS

Registration Number: R02670

ORDER FOR SUMMARY SUSPENSION OF REGISTRATION TO PRACTICE MASSAGE THERAPY

The Maryland State Board of Massage Therapy Examiners (the "Board") hereby SUMMARILY SUSPENDS the registration to practice massage therapy in Maryland issued to Andre L. Gibson, RMP (the "Respondent"), Registration Number R02670, under the Maryland Massage Therapy Act (the "Act"), Md. Health Occ., Code Ann. § 6-101 et seq. (2017). The Board takes such action pursuant to its authority under Md. Code Ann., State Gov't § 10-226(c)(2)(i) (2014 Repl. Vol. & 2017 Supp.), concluding that the public health, safety, or welfare imperatively requires emergency action.

INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS

Based on information received by, and made known to the Board, and the investigatory information obtained by, received by and made known to and available to the Board and the Office of the Attorney General, including the instances described below, the Board has reason to believe that the following facts are true:

1. Background

The statements regarding the Respondent's conduct are intended to provide the Respondent with notice of the basis of the summary suspension. They are not intended as, and do not necessarily represent a complete description of the evidence, either documentary or testimonial, to be offered against the Respondent about this matter.

- At all times relevant, the Respondent was registered to practice massage 1. therapy in the State of Maryland. The Respondent was initially registered in Maryland on May 8, 2017, and his registration is presently active through October 31, 2018.
- At all times relevant, the Respondent worked as a massage therapy practitioner in a wellness center located in Baltimore, Maryland ("Center A").2
- On or about August 2, 2017, the Board received a written complaint from the Director of Body Work (the "Director") of Center A stating that Center A had received complaints from three clients of the Respondent ("Client A3, Client B, and Client C"). Each of the Clients telephoned and spoke with the Manager of Center A who then informed the Director. The Director described the complaints as involving "inappropriate behavior" and "boundary crossings" by the Respondent during massages. The Director provided details about the telephone conversations with the three clients, as more fully set forth below, and provided supporting documentation. Client A also filed her own written complaint with the Board. 4.
- After receiving the complaints, the Board initiated an investigation that included conducting two in-person interviews of the Respondent. investigative findings are set forth in pertinent part below. The Board's

Board's Investigative Findings

Client A - Case Number 17-36M

5. Treatment records received from Center A confirm that the Respondent saw Client A for a massage on July 5, 2017.

² Specific identification of facilities and staff are not used in this Order, but will be provided to the Respondent on request to the administrative prosecutor. Respondent is aware of the identity of the

³ Client names are confidential and are not used in this Order, but will be provided to the Respondent on 2

6. On August 2, 2017, Client A called Practice A to report "highly inappropriate behavior by the Respondent during her session." As stated in the complaint from the Director:

[The Respondent] undraped her breasts.

When working on her left leg her knee was in [the Respondent's] groin and his penis was erect.

The Respondent verbally asked her if she "wanted tongue."

The Respondent attempted to touch her nipples and [Client A] firmly told him "no."

7. On August 2, 2017, Client A filed a written complaint with the Board. Client A described her complaint as follows:

On July 5, 2107, I went to [Center A] for a massage. [The Respondent] was assigned to me.

Unwanted sexual contact – Early in the massage, the drape slipped off my left breast. I was not aware of it. [The Respondent] pointed it out to me and said that this would not look good is someone walked into the room. I told him that I was not aware it had slipped and apologized if I had made him uncomfortable. I replaced the drape. As he worked on my left quadriceps he pulled my left knee out to his groin where his penis was erect – I ignored it.

When I was on my back, [the Respondent] was massaging my shoulders. He continued to the pectoral muscles. He then proceeded to move his fingers to manipulate my nipples. He asked me several times if I wanted tongue — I did not understand any of what was going on and felt frozen. As he started to push his hands down toward my groin — I said NO.

Private service solicitation – After the massage began, [the Respondent] asked me if I was interested in receiving massages at home. He continued to offer his home service three times throughout the massage making me feel more uncomfortable each time.

Client B (Heather Gade) Case Number 17-35M

8. Treatment records received from Center A confirm that the Respondent

saw Client B for a massage on July 5, 2017.

9. On July 6, 2017, Client B called Center A to report that the Respondent "crossed lines with her during the session." As stated in the complaint from the Director:

[The Respondent] asked [Client B] to undrape her pectoral area in a way that was comfortable to her. But then asked her to "scoot" toward the head of the massage table which exposed part of her breasts which he did not redrape.

When lying prone, [the Respondent] asked her to lower her underwear to a point that was comfortable to her; but did not massage this area, just left it undraped while he worked other areas of the back.

At the end of the session when lying supine again, [the Respondent] massaged the pectoral area then continued beneath the draping and massaged breast tissue and massage her nipples. Then he put his hands under the drape and reached down to [Client B's] stomach area.

Client B cancelled her next appointment with the Respondent.

Manager of Center A that about halfway through the massage, she was lying face up, and the Respondent asked her to lower the sheet as much as was comfortable for her, which she did. The Respondent asked her to scoot up to the top of the table to work on her neck and shoulder, so she did. The sheet had fallen but the Respondent did not try to redrape her. At the end of the massage, she was lying face up and her upper chest or pectorals were exposed. The Respondent massaged her pectorals and then, massaged her bust and massaged her nipples. The Respondent slid his hands down on her stomach "in a very suggestive fashion" and she felt like he would have gone further if her arm/hand was not there to stop him.

On July 6, 2017, Center A terminated the Respondent after its receipt of 11. the complaint from Client B.

Client C (Elizabeth Stafford) Case Number 17-34M

- Treatment records received from Center A confirm that the Respondent 12. saw Client C for a massage on June 15, 2017. 13.
- On July 18, 2017, Client C called Center A and reported that the Respondent "was inappropriate during her massage." Client C cancelled her next appointment with the Respondent. 14
- Client C reported to the Manager of Center A that the massage she received "seemed very sexual." She felt "uncomfortable the whole time" and "felt guilty after the massage." Client C reiterated that "it was a very sexual energy." The Respondent lifted the sheet but she felt it was lifted too high and that the Respondent could probably see her underneath. The way the Respondent rubbed her arms felt sexual. She said she "felt dirty after the massage" and will "probably never get a

Interview of the Respondent

- 15. On September 5, 2017, the Board Investigator conducted an interview under cath of the Respondent regarding the allegations of Clients A, B, and C. The Respondent testified to the following: a.
 - The Respondent was employed by Center A in approximately May 2017 and was "let go" from Center A in approximately July 2017
 - The Respondent did not recall Client A, and denied making any of b. the statements or performing any of the actions alleged by Client A;

that he asked Client B, while she was on her back and draped, to move up further on the massage table so her head could hang over the table and he could stretch her neck. The Respondent exposed because "when she moved, she did not pull the drape;"

. . . .

- d. The Respondent did not recall Client C, and denied performing the actions alleged by Client C; and
- e. As of the date of the interview, the Respondent has been working as a massage therapist as a "contractor" at a "day spa" in Howard County, Maryland, approximately 10 to 15 hours a week, or less, since approximately July 2017.
- 16. On September 6, 2107, the Respondent left a recorded message on the office telephone of the Investigator, requesting that the Investigator call him.
- 17. On September 8, 2017, the Investigator called the Respondent. The Respondent asked to be "re-interviewed" and stated that he "just wanted to tell the truth."
- 18. On September 26, 2017, the Investigator conducted a second interview under oath of the Respondent regarding the allegations of Clients A, B, and C. The Respondent testified to the following:

 a. The Respondent
 - a. The Respondent reiterated that he called the Investigator because he "wanted to tell the truth about what happened." The Respondent acknowledged that he had "lied" in the previous interview;
 - The Respondent stated that he did not recall the name of Client A but now he did recall the circumstances surrounding providing a massage for the individual herein identified as Client A;
 - C. The Respondent asked Client A "if she wanted her pec (pectoral) muscles worked on and she said yes." The Respondent touched Client A's nipple with his hand "for the amount of time to work an she wanted me to use my tongue... on her breast;"

- d. The Respondent stated that if he put his crotch on Client A, it was done "unintentionally;"
- e. The Respondent acknowledged that he touched Client B's breast tissue and massaged her nipple while he was working on her "pecs," and massaged her stomach during the massage session;
- f. The Respondent stated that it was "an error in my judgment" that head of the massage table; and
- g. The Respondent stated that he does not recall Client C but if he did raise the sheet so he could "look at her," it was unintentional.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the foregoing facts, the Board concludes that the public health, safety or welfare imperatively requires emergency action in this case, pursuant to Md. Code Ann., State Gov't. § 10-226 (c)(2)(i) (2014 Repl. Vol. & 2017 Supp.).

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, it is therefore this 20 day of <u>December</u>, 2017, by a majority vote of a quorum of the State Board of Massage Therapy Examiners,

ORDERED that pursuant to the authority vested by Md. Code Ann., State Gov't § 10-226(c)(2), the registration held by the Respondent to practice registered massage therapy in Maryland, Registration Number R02670 is hereby SUMMARILY SUSPENDED; and be it further

ORDERED that upon the Board's receipt of a written request from the Respondent, a show cause hearing shall be scheduled within a reasonable time of said request, at which the Respondent will be given an opportunity to be heard as to whether the Summary Suspension should be continued, regarding the Respondent's fitness to practice registered massage therapy and the danger to the public; and be it further

ORDERED that the Respondent shall immediately turn over to the Board his wall certificate and wallet sized registration issued by the Board to practice massage therapy; and be it further

ORDERED, that this Order for Summary Suspension constitutes a FINAL ORDER of the Board and is therefore a public document for purposes of public disclosure, as required by Md. Code Ann., Gen. Prov. §§ 4-101 et seq. (2014 & 2017 Supp.).

Laurie Sheffield-James Executive Director for David Cox, LMT, Chair

Maryland State Examiners Board Massage Therapy