

**Maryland Board of Pharmacy
Public Meeting
Minutes**

Date: December 21, 2011

Name	Title	Present	Absent	Present	Absent
Bradley-Baker, L.	Commissioner	✓		5	1
Chason, D.	Commissioner	✓		6	0
Finke, H.	Commissioner	✓		6	0
Gavani, M. Z.	Commissioner		✓	3	3
Hammonds, S.	Commissioner	✓		3	1
Handelman, M.	Commissioner	✓		5	1
Israbian-Jamgochian, L.	Commissioner/Treasurer	✓		6	0
Matens, R.	Commissioner		✓	4	2
Souranis, M.	Commissioner/President	✓		6	0
St. Cyr, II, Z. W.	Commissioner	✓		6	0
Taylor, D.	Commissioner	✓		6	0
Taylor, R.	Commissioner/Secretary	✓		4	2
Bethman, L.	Board Counsel	✓		6	0
Felter, B.	Staff Attorney	✓		6	0
Naesea, L.	Executive Director	✓		5	1 (Excused)
Wu, Y.	Compliance Manager	✓		4	2
Daniels, D	Licensing Manager	✓		6	0
Gaither, P.	Administration and Public Support Manager	✓		5	1
Jeffers, A.	Legislation/Regulations Manager	✓		6	0

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
I. Executive Committee Report(s)	A. M. Souranis, Board President	<p><i>Members of the Board with a conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda are advised to notify the Board at this time or when the issue is addressed in the agenda.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M. Souranis called the Public Meeting to order at 9:50 a.m. 2. M. Souranis requested all meeting attendees to introduce themselves and to remember to sign the guest log and indicate whether they would like continuing education credits before they leave the meeting. 3. M. Souranis reported that all guests will be given packets of materials so that they can follow the meeting's agenda items and discussions. Guests are requested to return the 		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>draft packets when they leave the meeting.</p> <p>4. Members of the Board with any conflict of interest relating to any item on the agenda were advised to notify the Board.</p> <p>5. Review and Approval of revision to October 19, 2011 Minutes:</p> <p>E. Emergency Preparedness Task Force, Don Taylor's Report</p> <p>NABP wrote a letter to the DEA asking what information could be changed on a Schedule II prescription. The DEA responded that pharmacist should use professional judgment and knowledge of state and federal laws. The following language was omitted from the October 19, 2011 minutes and is hereby approved: <i>The Board voted to allow pharmacists in Maryland, after verification with the prescriber and documentation, to make changes on Schedule II prescriptions, except the pharmacist is never permitted to make changes to the patient's name, controlled substance prescribed (except for generic substitution permitted by state law) or the prescriber's signature.</i></p> <p>6. Review and Approval of typographical errors on October 19, 2011 and November 16, 2011 minutes:</p>	<p>Motion by M. Souranis to accept typographical revisions. Second by H. Finke</p>	<p>5. Approved</p> <p>6. Approved</p>
II. Executive Director Report	A. L. Naesea	<p>1. Operations Update: Martha Jones, Temp. Sec. resigned effective 12/21/2011, replacement is being sought to fill final 2 months of that Contract. Cheryl Johnson has been selected for the half-time pharmacist inspector. BOP and all boards required to switch over to "SharePoint" software for Website by January 7, 2011. Request for an extension of time was placed due to our being without MIS</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		manager 2. Meetings Updates: None 3. Sunset Legislative Hearings: Nov 30 & 12/6/2011 both went well, especially on the Senate side, concerned that we are not able to spend the money we raise.		
B. Administration and Public Support	B. P. Gaither, Manager	1. Personnel Updates -: Vacancies and Recruits a)Part time Pharmacist Inspector – Hired Cheryl Johnson – Start date 1/11/12 b)Permanent Office Secretary Receptionist and c)Permanent Office Secretary Licensing – These positions currently in recruitment are expected to be filled before the next Board meeting. CORRECTION: Expected to be filled by the end of January 2012. 2. Contracts and Procurement a)Senior Systems Engineering Contract - Expected start date December 7, 2012 expiration June 30, 2012 – Interviews to be conducted early January with expectation to have person on board by 1/11/12. b)PEAC Contract - Will expire April 30, 2012.The PEAC committee is reviewing specifications of current contract to rebid/renew contract – The PEAC Contract (expiring April 20, 2012) will be reviewed by the PEAC committee who will meet ASAP to discuss specifications and modifications to the existing contract. The Board will need contract specifications by the end of January 2012. c)Newsletter Contract – The contract specifications were revised to reflect a reduction in the number of hard copy newsletters to be distributed. E-mail distribution is planned for most of pharmacist and technician licensees. A quote has been received and acceptance is anticipated in January 2012.		
C. MIS	L. Naesea	Completed interview process for project manager, to coordinate activities related to implementation of the Systems Automation new SQL-based MIS system. Interviews have been scheduled between now and January 4, 2012. .		
D. Licensing	D. Daniels,	Monthly Statistics:		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
	Manager	19,443 Total Current Licensees Pharmacists licensed: In-State 6,097; 2,769 Out-of-State; Total Pharmacists Licensed: 8866 2719 Pharmacist Vaccination certificates approved Pharmacy Technicians registered: 10,199 0 Pharmacy Technician Programs approved 1809 Pharmacy Permits,; 1185 In-State; 543 Out-of-State & 81 Waivered 8 New drug repositories 835 Wholesale Distributors; 135 In-State; 700 Out-of-State		
E. Compliance	Y. Wu, Manager	1. Inspection Program Report for November, 2011 34 complaints received, 5 were “Standard of Care”, 29 were “Other” 97 Monthly Inspection of Pharmacies; 85 annual inspections, 10 opening inspections 1 closing inspections performed by Division of Drug Control Change Inspections were 0 2 Special Investigations are on-going 2. PEAC Update- Tony Tommasello 18 clients being monitored by PEAC; 17 pharmacists, 1 technician		
F. Legislation & Regulations	A. Jeffers	<u>LEGISLATION:</u> 1) DHMH Scope of Practice legislation: Health Occupations Boards – Regulations and Scope of Practice Advisory Committee		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p><u>Health Occupations Bill Word Doc comparison</u></p> <p><u>Board Comment to Secretary</u></p> <p><u>The Board approved:</u></p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to review the draft legislation “Health Occupation Boards – Regulations and Scope of Practice Advisory Committees.” The Board of Pharmacy is extremely interested in the issue this legislation addresses. The Board respectfully submits the following comments.</p> <p>§1-703 If more than two boards are involved in a scope of practice disagreement, please assure that the potential for inherent bias is eliminated on the advisory panel.</p> <p>The Board has concerns regarding members of the involved Boards or Commissions being appointed on the Advisory Committee. The appointment of board members on the Advisory Committee could bias the Committee determinations, particularly if there is an imbalance in the number of different board representatives on either side of a disagreement. The Board suggests that involved Board representatives not be appointed. Board members could be called upon to provide testimony related to each specific Board’s concerns and/or to provide technical information (process related) concerning the implementation and impacts of changes being considered.</p> <p>§1-704 In reviewing a scope of practice dispute, the Board believes that it is imperative to add to the list of considerations whether or not the resolution is in the best health and safety interest of the patient. The mission of the Board of Pharmacy is public protection through licensing and regulating the practice of pharmacy. The Board believes the health and safety of the patient should be the forefront on any deliberations concerning scope of practice.</p> <p>Thank you again for this opportunity to submit comments concerning the draft legislation Health Occupation Boards – Regulations and Scope of Practice Advisory Committees. Should</p>	<p>Practice Committee moved to approve Board Comment to Secretary; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p>	<p>Approved</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>you have questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me or Anna D. Jeffers, Legislation and Regulations Manager at (410) 764-4794.</p> <p>2) Amended Sunset Legislation <u>DRAFT Amended Sunset Legislation 122111</u> The Board approved amendments that would add salary setting authority and moving the expiration of establishment renewal dates to May 31st.</p> <p>3) Jurisdiction of Non-resident Pharmacies The Board approved There might be logistical changes to the bill and due to the time sensitive nature of the session, would the Board delegate authority for approval to of amendments to the Executive Committee? <u>REGULATIONS:</u> 1) 10.34.14 Opening and Closing of Pharmacies Anticipated to be published January 27, 2012. 2) 10.34.18 Continuing Education for Pharmacists Emergency and regular proposals submitted to DHMH for sign-off November 16, 2011. Anticipated to be published January 27, 2012. The Secretary would not sign off on the Emergency proposal. He suggested releasing a policy statement concerning the definition of “live CEs” and how it would be handled and that the Board will propose the definition in regulations to be published in the next few months. 3) 10.34.23 Pharmaceutical Services to Patients in Comprehensive Care Facilities Published December 16, 2011.</p>	<p>Practice Committee moved to approve Draft Amended Sunset Legislation as noted; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p> <p>Motion by H. Finke to delegate authority to Executive Committee to approve amendments to bill regarding jurisdiction of non-resident pharmacies; Second by R. Taylor</p>	<p>Approved</p> <p>Approved</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>4) 10.34.25 Delivery of Prescriptions Published October 21, 2011. NO COMMENTS. Notice of Final Action submitted with March 1, 2012 effective date.</p> <p>5) 10.34.28 Automated Medication Systems Published December 2, 2011.</p> <p>6) 10.34. 32 Pharmacists Administration of Vaccinations Influenza – Emergency Effective Date retroactive to October 1, 2011. Regular proposal published November 4, 2011. NO COMMENTS. Notice of Final Action submitted with effective date 10 days after publication. Travel Vaccines – Meeting held 8/21/11. Future Meeting to be scheduled. Rodney Taylor suggested to request in writing the Board of Physician’s concerns regarding the administration of travel vaccines by pharmacists and then schedule a meeting to address their concerns.</p> <p>7) 10.34.33 Prescription Drug Repository Program Holding off on revisions until the Fed draft proposal is published later this fall.</p> <p>8) 10.34.35 Infusion Pharmacy Services in an Alternate Site Care Environment Errata published December 2, 2011 with effective date of 3/1/12. <u>Errata - Md. R. 120211</u></p> <p>9) 10.34.36 Pharmaceutical Services to Patients in Assisted Living Programs, Group Homes, or Correctional Institutions Draft to be presented at the December Practice Committee Meeting.</p> <p>10) 10.13.01 Dispensing of Prescription Drugs by a Licensee Report on meeting held December 15, 2011.</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p><u>DRAFT Prescriber Dispensing Legislation with consensus amendments</u></p> <p>Anna Jeffers asked the Board Members not to circulate the unofficial version of the draft bill before them since it contains her notes from the December 15th meeting and was not amended by Sara Fidler.</p> <p>The Board approved the following amendments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title - reword 2. Inspections – annual. Add in the Secretary with DDC performing inspections throughout. 3. Upon inspection signs and dates and acknowledgement form provided by the INSPECTOR relating to the requirements of this section. (deleted DDC) 4. Wholesale dist – Purchases prescription drugs or devices from a pharmacy or wholesale distributor permitted by the Board of Pharmacy, as verified by the Board of Pharmacy. 5. Change the renewal period for dispensing permits to 2 years. (This would require a statute change to the Practice Acts for Dentists, Physicians, and Podiatrists.) 6. 4 CEs in a two year term. 7. Remove medical facility or clinic that specializes in the treatment of medical cases reimbursable through workers’ compensation insurance from 12-102(g). In the future those physicians will need dispensing permits. 8. Add a non-transferable clause 9. Define “conveniently available” to be when patient has a documented access issue or a 10 mile radius. <p><u>MARYLAND MEDICAID ADVISORY:</u></p> <p><u>Advisory 101 - Clarification of Pharmacy Reg Updates 1 1 1</u></p> <p><u>PRESCRIPTION DRUG REPOSITORY PROGRAM</u></p>	<p>Did you record the final approval of the amendments?</p>	<p>Approved with Amendments as noted</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>Board ratification requested for</p> <p><u>DRAFT Cease&Desist for RxDrugRep</u></p> <p><u>The Board ratified the following letter.</u></p> <p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>The Maryland Board of Pharmacy (the “Board”) is aware that _____ <u>Pharmacy</u> is participating in the DisposeMyMeds program and is accepting prescription drugs for disposal.</p> <p>During the 2011 Legislative Session, SB 770 Prescription Drug Repository Program – Disposal of Prescription Drugs and Medical Supplies, Chapter 546, introduced at the request of the Board, requires that a pharmacy may accept prescription drugs and medical supplies taken to the pharmacy for disposal <u>only if the pharmacy is approved by the Board as a repository for that purpose.</u> Health-General Article, Title 15, Subtitle 6, Annotated Code of Maryland.</p> <p>The Board notified permit holders of this requirement in the Summer 2011 Newsletter and also in the Fall 2011 Newsletter. Copies of those articles are also attached. Additionally, a notice has been posted on the Board’s website since September: http://dhhm.maryland.gov/pharmacyboard/</p> <p>This letter requests that you take appropriate action to apply to the Prescription Drug Repository Program within the next 60 days if you wish to continue accepting prescription medications for disposal. This new requirement affects those pharmacies that currently participate in “Dispose My Meds,” “Take Away,” or any other disposal program. Please review COMAR 10.34.33 Prescription Drug Repository Program on page 115 of the 2010 Edition of Maryland Pharmacy Laws and the Board’s website homepage link to acquire necessary forms to register with the Board of Pharmacy as a Drop-Off/Repository. Attached please find a copy of the regulations: COMAR 10.34.33.01 - .12. No fee is required with the application.</p>	<p>Motion by D. Taylor to ratify Cease&Desist letter for RxDrugRep.; Second by L. Israbian-Jamgochian</p>	<p>Approved/Ratified</p>

Field Code Changed

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>http://dhmh.maryland.gov/pharmacyboard/verifications/DrugRepository.htm</p> <p>If you are listed on the “DisposeMyMeds” website as a participating pharmacy, but have decided not to participate or are no longer participating, please notify the Board and contact “DisposeMyMeds” to have your pharmacy removed from the website. The Board will verify that your name is removed after <u>receipt of your notification</u>. http://www.disposemymeds.org/index.php/pharmacy-locator</p> <p>In the event that you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact YuZon Wu, Pharmacist Compliance Officer, during normal business hours at 410/764-5908.</p> <p><u>“MARYLAND MADE EASY” SUGGESTION</u></p> <p><u>Letter from DHMH requesting comment</u></p> <p><u>Surety Bond Issue for Early Stage Pharmaceutical Companies</u></p> <p><u>Bd of Pharm response - Gov -Reg Reform Initiative 1215111 2</u></p> <p><u>The Board ratified the following response:</u></p> <p>Thank you for seeking the Board of Pharmacy’s response to suggestions received by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene from the “Maryland Made Easy” website created by the Department of Business and Economic Development (DBED) for the Governor’s Job Creation Through Regulatory Reform Initiative.</p> <p>Title: COMAR 10.34.22.01 - .08 Licensing of Wholesale Prescription Drug or Device Distributors</p> <p>Description: This chapter applies to any person engaged in the wholesale distribution of prescription drugs or prescription devices in Maryland. The regulations implement Health Occupations Article, Subtitle 6C, Annotated Code of Maryland, which was enacted to regulate the wholesale distribution of prescription drugs</p>	<p>Motion by D. Taylor to ratify BOP response to DHMH on Surety Bond Issue; Second by R. Taylor</p>	<p>Approved/Ratified</p>

Field Code Changed

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>and prescription devices in Maryland to prevent diversion and counterfeit drugs entering the prescription drug and prescription device supply in Maryland. A wholesale distributor company, currently located outside of Maryland, has brought to the attention of the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene a concern about the <i>surety bond</i> or <i>letter of credit</i> requirement (\$50,000 or \$100,000) for wholesale distributors permitted to practice in Maryland. The company noted that the smaller, perhaps start-up distributor companies may be deterred from doing business in Maryland because of an inability to meet the requirement in light of other business expenses.</p> <p>Recommended Action: The Board does not recommend revising Subtitle 6C to accommodate the suggestion to waive the surety bond or letter of credit requirement of Health Occupations Article, 12-6C-05, Annotated Code of Maryland. The existing law is intended to protect the State's interest. The purpose of the requirement is to ensure the ability of the State to collect payment of any Board-imposed fines or penalties as well as any fees and costs relating to the distributor permits that: 1) are authorized under State laws; and 2) are not paid by the permit holder within 30 days after the fines, penalties, fees or costs become final.</p> <p>The Board is interested in eliminating any deterrents related to the program that would not compromise patient safety or the State's interest. For your information the Board is seeking to revise Subtitle 6C in the 2012 Legislative Session by proposing three amendments which will simplify the application process for applicants and Board staff. The first amendment removes the requirements for a physical inspection of a wholesale distributor location that does not hold product. The second amendment substitutes a criminal background check in Maryland for the designated representative or the supervising designated representative, for a criminal background check of these individuals in the state where the wholesale distributor is located. The third amendment allows applicants to submit their fingerprints and fees for a criminal background check directly to the Criminal Justice Information System Central Repository of the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services.</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>Stakeholders in Opposition: In 2007 when Subtitle 6C was enacted, industry stakeholders and the Board of Pharmacy negotiated almost every word of the legislation through numerous meetings and four hearings in Annapolis. A Task Force of industry stakeholders met in 2007 and 2008 drafted COMAR 10.34.22 for Board approval. With each amendment to Subtitle 6C, industry stakeholders have been included and supported those amendments.</p> <p>Benefits of Reform: Health Occupations Article, Subtitle 6C, Annotated Code of Maryland and COMAR 10.34.22 is a complex statute and regulatory chapter. The Board has made some amendments since its enactment in 2007 to accommodate small businesses, out of state wholesale distributors and Board processes (see below Relevant Legislative/Regulatory History section). The Board will consider further amendments to the statute and regulations to facilitate faster licensure and renewals, if it does not compromise the State’s interest in protecting the prescription drug and prescription device supply in Maryland.</p> <p>Possible Negative Effects: The Board will not consider eliminating or waiving the surety bond/letter of credit requirement due to the seriousness of counterfeiting and diverting prescription drugs or prescription devices. To do so would reduce the Board’s confidence in a company’s ability to legitimately operate in Maryland at necessary sustainable level expected of wholesale distributors. It would also eliminate the guarantee that the State could recoup payment of fines or penalties imposed by the Board.</p> <p>Impact on Other Existing Laws: Health Occupations Article, Subtitle 6C, Annotated Code of Maryland and COMAR 10.34.22 regulates the quality and safety of the wholesale distribution of prescription drugs and prescription devices in Maryland. The safe and secure distribution of prescription drugs and prescription devices in Maryland is the first step in providing quality medications to Maryland patients. The remainder of the laws in Maryland that impact the distribution and dispensing of prescription drugs rely on a safe prescription drug supply.</p> <p>Relevant Legislative/Regulatory History: This subtitle and the accompanying regulatory chapter were significantly revised in 2007</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>with further revisions in 2008 and 2010 respectively. The 2008 revision allowed for a lesser surety bond/letter of credit amount for those wholesale distributors whose gross receipts from sales of prescription drugs and devices in Maryland are under \$10,000,000. This amendment accommodated smaller wholesale distributors who choose to distribute into, out of, or within Maryland. The 2010 revision allowed reciprocity for those wholesale distributors who were located in states with wholesale distributor laws that are substantially equivalent to Maryland's or obtain accreditation from a Board approved accreditation organization wherever the wholesale distributor is located. The Board seeks additional amendments in the 2012 Legislative Session as described above.</p> <p>Public Comment: The comment was received from the MME website and forwarded to the Board by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.</p> <p>Should you have questions or additional concerns, please feel free to contact Anna D. Jeffers, Legislation and Regulations Manager at (410) 764-4794.</p>		

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
III. Committee Reports A. Practice Committee	H. Finke, Chair,	<p>Jeffrey J. Mesaros, Pharm.D., J.D., Medco Health Solutions, Inc.</p> <p><u>Question Storage of Bulk Solutions</u></p> <p><u>Floor plan.CCS.glen burnie</u></p> <p><u>DRAFT Bd Response - storage of bulk solutions 122111</u></p> <p>The Board approved the following response:</p> <p>Thank you for contacting the Maryland Board of Pharmacy concerning whether it is acceptable to store bulk solutions outside the licensed pharmacy space in an alarmed, climate controlled warehouse area within the building next to the pharmacy space. The secure entry requires pharmacist access codes to enter.</p> <p>Please be advised that so long as the bulk solutions are stored in the same building and on the same floor as the pharmacy, and the entire pharmacy area is alarmed, including the perimeter of the warehouse area, then the bulk solutions may be stored in a secure, limited access part of the warehouse area. Please ensure that only pharmacists have access to the security system. The permit holder should submit an updated floor plan of the pharmacy upon renewal.</p> <p>This storage arrangement would not apply to controlled dangerous substances.</p> <p>Mr. Sisto was advised to submit the floor plan to the Licensing Unit.</p>	<p>Motion by the Practice Committee to approve this response; Second by L. Israbian-Jamgochian</p>	<p>Approved</p>
B. Licensing Committee	D. Chason Chair,	<p>Pharmacist Applications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant 1 - The license expired 10/31/2011. The application was short 10 CE credits because the renewal CE was not ACPE approved. Licensee requested a waiver of the reinstatement requirements. Applicant sent additional ACPE approved of CE and stated error occurred because of website documentation that Maryland accepted CE approved by other states. This was based old regulations. Applicant has not paid reinstatement the fee. 	<p>Applicant 1- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by S. Hammomds</p>	<p>Applicant 1 – Recommendation Approved</p>

Comment [SH1]: Waiting for Demetrius to provide names and will then replace “Applicant” with name. You will need to hound her about doing this

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>Recommendation that applicant pay fee and CEs cannot be used for next renewal period. Email to be drafted and reviewed by L. Naesea and D. Chason.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant 2 - The license expired 9/30/2011. The licensee is working in Maryland. The application was short 21 CE because the application did not indicate ACPE number for APHA programs. Licensee states he did not receive a notice of renewal. Requesting waiver of reinstatement. Sent documentation on 10/7/2011.. Recommendation is to approve the renewal with no further action to be taken. • Applicant 3 - The license expired 8/31/2011. The application had no CE within the renewal period. Licensee sent reinstatement application and additional CE on 10/22/2011. Requesting waiver of reinstatement because of practices in Ohio and referred to old regulations. Recommendation to deny request for waiver of reinstatement fee. Notify applicant that CE cannot be used in subsequent application. • Applicant 4 - Applicant requested an accommodation for testing. Provided physician documentation. Requesting 50% longer for NABPLEX and MPJE due to attention deficit disorder. Recommendation to approve with 50% additional time to complete the examination. • Applicant 5 - The license expired 9/2011. Licensee working at Calvert Memorial Hospital, Maryland. Requested waiver of reinstatement fee. Indicated that she did not receive a renewal postcard. This is a first renewal in Maryland. Paid reinstatement fee. Recommendation to deny request for waiver and applicant must pay required fee. • Applicant 6 - The applicant answered "Yes "to question #3. CE was complete and reinstatement fee was paid. Recommendation is to approve the renewal with no further action to be taken. <p>Pharmacy Technician Applications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applicant 7 - The applicant answered "Yes "to question #3 the explanation was that the national certification lapsed but was renewed. The CJIS report did not indicate any 	<p>Applicant 2- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by H. Finke</p> <p>Applicant 3- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by R. Taylor</p> <p>Applicant 4- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p> <p>Applicant 5- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by H. Finke</p> <p>Applicant 6- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by R. Taylor</p> <p>Applicant 7- Motion by Licensing</p>	<p>Applicant 2 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 3- Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 4 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 5 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 6 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 7 –</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>convictions. Recommendation is to approve the renewal with no further action to be taken.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="562 402 1146 516">• Applicant 8 - The applicant answered Yes #3. The explanation was that he failed to renew a registration due to relocation to another state. The CJIS report did not indicate any convictions. Recommendation is to approve the renewal with no further action to be taken. <li data-bbox="562 573 1146 686">• Applicant 9 - The applicant answered "Yes "to question #3. The applicant was licensed as LPN and did not renew the license. The CJIS report did not indicate any convictions. Recommendation is to approve the renewal with no further action to be taken. <li data-bbox="562 743 1146 922">• Applicant 10 - Applicant is requesting approval as a waiver pharmacy providing services to assisted living facilities, and veterinary hospitals as well as performing non-sterile compounding Recommendation is that client must prove competency to perform each specialized function in the submission application. Initial inspection to confirm documentation and competencies. D. Chason to revise the letter to applicants. <li data-bbox="562 946 1146 1109">• Applicant 11 - The application for the waiver pharmacy indicated "Other" as the category with no explanation. Recommendation is that client must prove competency to perform each specialized function in the submission application. Initial inspection to confirm documentation and competencies. D. Chason to revise the letter to applicants. <li data-bbox="562 1133 1146 1271">• Applicant 12 - Waiver pharmacy located in a distribution center that provides specialty products for peritoneal dialysis has requested a waiver license. The orders are processed in a pharmacy located in Illinois. Recommendation that the Board notify that both facilities must be licensed as pharmacies. <li data-bbox="562 1295 1146 1334">• Request from The Joint Commission to become accrediting agency for the Maryland Board of Pharmacy. Motion to 	<p>Committee to accept recommendation; Second by H. Finke</p> <p>Applicant 8- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p> <p>Applicant 9- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p> <p>Applicant10- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by R. Taylor</p> <p>Applicant11- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p> <p>Applicant12- Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by Z. St. Cyr</p> <p>Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by D.</p>	<p>Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 8 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 9 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 10 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 11 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Applicant 12 – Recommendation Approved</p> <p>Recommendation</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
		<p>approve for DME and medical gases. Recommend to the Board to approve the Joint Commission as an accrediting agency for distributors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To reduce surety bond costs, some virtual manufacturers wholesale distributors have requested approval to submit short form applications and new fees, . The committee recommends that the Board accept the short form and required fees from qualified virtual manufacturers. 	<p>Taylor</p> <p>Motion by Licensing Committee to accept recommendation; Second by H. Finke</p>	<p>Approved</p> <p>Recommendation Approved</p>
C. Public Relations Committee	L. Bradley-Baker Chair	No Report		
D. Disciplinary	L. Israbian-Jamgochian Chair	No Report		
E. Emergency Preparedness Task Force	D. Taylor Chair	No Report		
F. Drug Therapy Management	Rodney Taylor Co-Board Representative	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Joint Committee Update The Board of Pharmacy members of the DTM Joint Committee recommend approving the Application and Anticoagulation Protocol for Clinical Pharmacy Associates, Inc. with a revision of the language in Section V of the protocol to read: "The pharmacists may not substitute chemically dissimilar drug products, unless prescribed by the physician. " 	<p>Motion to accept revision to Section V protocol by R. Taylor; Second by D. Chason</p>	<p>Approved (note that BOP does not approve of the change in language in Section V but approves only to accommodate Clinical Pharmacy Associates, Inc.)</p>

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned To)	Results
IV. Other Business & FYI	M. Souranis	The BOP recognized Arpit Metha, student pharmacist intern from LECOM School of Pharmacy Erie, PA for his hard work and cooperation with the Board of Pharmacy. BOP to be closed December 23rd, 26th, 30th, 2011 and January 2, 2012		
V. Adjournment	M. Souranis, Board President	<p>The Public Meeting was adjourned at 1:25 P.M.</p> <p>At ____ P.M. M. Souranis convened a Closed Public Session to engage in medical review committee deliberations regarding confidential matters in applications and consult with counsel in accordance with State Government Article Section 10-508(a)(7) and (13)..</p> <p>C. The Closed Public Session was adjourned at _____ P.M. Immediately thereafter, M. Souranis convened an Administrative Session for purposes of discussing confidential disciplinary cases. With the exception of cases requiring recusals, the Board members present at the Public Meeting continued to participate in the Administrative Session.</p>	Motion by L. Bradley-Baker to adjourn the Public Board Meeting; Second by S. Hammonds	Approved